

# BUREAU OF SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT

Date: January 22, 2007

School: J.L. Wilkinson Elementary

School District: Clay

	PROGRESS TOWARD MEETING REQUIREMENTS				
REQUIREMENTS	Report progress toward meeting accountability requirements in the appropriate cells below				
HIGHLY QUALIFIED CERTIFIED ADMINISTRATORS	No Changes in Administration have taken place since the last report.				
HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS	<ul> <li>We have added an additional teacher to our staff since the beginning of the school year.         Julie Blank-1<sup>st</sup> Grade         Courtney Poole-ESE Hearing Impaired (transfer within District)</li> <li>All teachers are certified and teaching in-field.</li> </ul>				
TEACHER MENTORING ACTIVITIES	We have several staff members who have been working with a mentor/Peer Teacher. They are meeting weekly together planning lessons, discussing procedures, and strategies.  Staff Member Mentor/Peer Teacher  Karen Romito Susan Worthington  CJ Carter Diana Rabidoux  Michele McEachin Karen Miller  Michelle Zaliwski Connie Lee				

# EXTENDED LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES

- We have 120 students in the Supplemental Educational Services tutoring program offered to our free and reduced students.
- We have after school tutoring for grades 2-6. Students utilize the SuccessMaker Lab for 20-30 minutes out of their 60 minute tutoring session. Students work on Reading and/or Math. Each group attends two days a week. They began on Nov. 6, 2006. The strands are being adjusted depending on their weaknesses and the benchmark assessment data results.

Group/Grade	Reading-Initial Reading	Math-Mastering
	Strands	Math Concepts -
	AP gains	Strands
		AP gains
Group 1 Grade 2	100% .59	100% .29
Group 2 Grade 2	100% .19	86% .16
Group 3 Grade 3	43% .28	86% .06
Group 4 Grade 3	17% .37	100% .12
Group 5 Grade 4	Not addressed on	83% .35
	computer	
Group 6 Grade 4	Skills practiced in small	100% .35
	group	
Group 7 Grade 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100% .18
Group 8 Grade 6	(6)	40% .11
Group 9 Grade 6	75% .29	75% .08

Curriculum Area/Benchmark	· Reading					
Name of Assessment Used:		Monitorina				
Grade Assessed	Benchmark Diagnostic Assessment 1 Baseline Data	Benchmark Diagnostic Assessment 2 Dec. 06		3 <sup>rd</sup> Progress Report (April)	% Change	Total % Change
Grade 3						
% meeting high standards Level 3+	8%	34%				
Level 2	34%	51%				
Level 1	58%	22%				
Grade 4						
% meeting high standards Level 3+	9%	39%				
Level 2	34%	39%				
Level 1	57%	24%				
Grade 5						
% meeting high standards Level 3+	12%	34%				
Level 2	56%	44%				
Level 1	32%	22%				

**READING** 

## Enter narrative here.

Grade 6	Baseline	Benchmark
% Level 3+	27%	46%
Level 2	40%	35%
Level 1	33%	19%

- Determination of the Levels: Level 3+ 75-100, Level 2 50-74, Level 1 49-0 on the WES Benchmark Monitoring Assessments.
- WES Benchmark Monitoring Assessments are given every 6-8 weeks.
- Results are complied and grade level meetings are held to analyze results. The results are used to plan instruction.
- From the initial diagnostic assessments we are seeing gains in benchmark mastery across the grade levels.

- Teachers are developing and implementing best practice lessons that address students' weak areas.
- Monitoring of Strategies-Teachers turn in a copy of their best lesson plan and one student work sample that addresses a weak area.
- WES staff attends a minimum of four hours a month on Professional Development addressing Reading/Math strategies. WU
- FCAT Explorer is being used in out Technology class for grades 3,4, and 6 for Reading. Each class has used a total of 6 hours or more.
- DIBELS (Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills) assessments were given in Sept. and we are currently administering the second assessment at this time.

**Reading targeted subgroups** (Lowest 25% of students based on STAN 10 (grades K-2) FCAT-Level 1-2 (grades 3-6) from previous school year. Students attend SuccessMaker Computer Lab for 20 minutes, five days a week with a Title I Teacher.

Grade	Acceptable	Gains made
	Performance	
3 <sup>rd</sup>	36%	.62
2 <sup>nd</sup>	95%	.53
1 <sup>st</sup>	72%	.38
Kindergarten	43%	.22

	Curriculum Area/Benchi	mark: Mathematics	S					
	Name of Assessment U	sed: District Bencl	hmark Assessmer	nts				
	Grade Assessed	Benchmark Diagnostic	Benchmark Diagnostic		% Changa	3 <sup>rd</sup>	% Change	Total %
	Assessed	Assessment 1	Assessment		Change	Progress Report	Change	Change
		Baseline data	2			(April)		
			Dec. 06					
	Grade 3							
MATHEMATICS	% meeting high standards Level 3+	1%	71%					
MATHEMATICS	Lavalo	440/	050/					
	Level 2	41%	25%					
	Level 1	58%	4%					
	Grade 4							
	% meeting high standards Level 3+	3%	23%					
	Level 2	33%	54%					
	Level 1	64%	23%					
	Grade 5							
	% meeting high standards Level 3+	1%	16%					
	Level 2	15%	47%					
	Level 1	84%	37%					

# Enter narrative here.

Grade 6	Baseline	Benchmark
% Level 3+	1%	55%
Level 2	16%	35%
Level 1	83%	10%

• Determination of the Levels: Level 3+ 75-100, Level 2 50-74, Level 1 49-0 on the WES Benchmark

Assessments.

- Benchmark Monitoring Assessments are given every 6-8 weeks.
- Results are complied and grade level meetings are held to analyze results. The results are used to plan instruction.
- From the initial diagnostic assessments we are seeing gains in benchmark mastery across the grade levels.
- Teachers are developing and implementing best practice lessons that address students' weak areas.
- Monitoring of Strategies-Teachers turn in a copy of their best lesson plan and one student work sample that addresses a weak area.
- WES staff attends a minimum of four hours a month on Professional Development addressing Reading/Math strategies. WU
- FCAT Explorer is being used in our Technology class for 5<sup>th</sup> grade Math. Each class has used a total of more than 6 hours.

**Math targeted subgroups** (Lowest 25% of students based on STAN 10 (grades K-2) FCAT-Level 1-2 (grades 3-6) from previous school year. Students attend SuccessMaker Computer Lab for 20 minutes, five days a week with a Title I Teacher.

Grade	Acceptable	Gains made
	Performance	
5 <sup>th</sup>	84%	.84
4 <sup>th</sup>	85%	.46

ype of Essay: Fourth Grade Grade	Baseline		3 <sup>rd</sup>	%	Total %
Assessed	Data Clay Writes	Clay Writes 2 <sup>nd</sup>	Progress Report (April)	Change	Change
Grade 4	·				
% meeting high standards: Score 3.5+	5%	47%			
Score: 2-3	46%	49%			
Score: NS- 1.5	49%	4%			
Grade					
% meeting high standards: Score 3.5+					
Score: 2-3					
Score: NS- 1.5					
Grade					
% meeting high standards: Score 3.5+					
Score: 2-3					
Score: NS- 1.5					

**WRITING** 

#### Enter narrative here.

- Lesson Plans are reflecting implementation of monthly writing prompts.
- All students will keep a Math journal to explain their thinking. This will be used at least weekly.
- Results are complied and grade level meetings are held to analyze results. The results are used to plan instruction.
- From the initial diagnostic assessments we are seeing gains in Clay Writes results.
- Teachers are developing and implementing best practice lessons that address students' weak areas.
- Monitoring of Strategies-Teachers turn in a copy of their best lesson plan and one student work sample that addresses a weak area.
- WES staff attends a minimum of four hours a month on Professional Development addressing Reading/Math & Writing strategies. WU

	Curriculum Area/Benchmark: Science							
	Name of Assessment Used: P	Name of Assessment Used: Progress Reports/Report Cards						
	Grade	Baseline	Progress		3 <sup>rd</sup>	%	Total %	
	Assessed	Data	Report		Progress	Change	Change	
		Progress Report  1st Quarter	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter		Report (April)			
	Grade 3							
	% meeting high standards	90%	87%					
	Level 3+							
	Level 2	10%	13%					
	Level 1	0%	0%					
SCIENCE	Grade 4							
	% meeting high standards	85%	88%					
	Level 3+							
	Level 2	15%	12%					
	Level 1	0%	0%					
	Grade 5							
	% meeting high standards	100%	96%					
	Level 3+							
	Level 2	0%	4%					
	Level 1	0%	0%					

## Enter narrative here.

Grade 6	Baseline-Progress Report /1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter	Progress Report/2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter
% Level 3+	90%	79%
Level 2	10%	21%
Level 1	0%	0%

- Determination of the Levels: Level 3+ 75-100, Level 2 50-74, Level 1 49-0 based on Progress Reports (students' grades).
- Teaching reading strategies across the curriculum, including strategies that apply to the area of science.
- Teaching math strategies across the curriculum, including strategies that apply to science.

School wide	We have broken down our 2006-07 SIP plan strategies and have extended them in to a three year plan for 2006-2009. The updates have been adjusted on our 2006-2007 SIP plan.
Improvement Updates	

<sup>\*</sup>Baseline Data: baseline data is compared to current assessment data to calculate changes in student performance. Data used should measure the same skills or benchmarks as assessments given earlier in the school year.

# **Directions for Using the Data Chart**

- 1. Insert the curriculum area and/or benchmark assessed.
- 2. Insert the name of the assessment used.
- 3. Insert the grade levels assessed.
- 4. Insert the assessment data in the appropriate column for the reporting period.
- 5. Enter a narrative explaining the data in the space provided under the data table. The space will expand as needed to accommodate the length of the narrative.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Comparable Data: using valid and reliable assessment items and administered regularly(monthly or quarterly) by the district or school to the same students, measuring the same benchmarks, using the same test item specifications with the same degree of difficulty.)